

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				www.uspto.gov	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOGU-	
	10/600,344	06/23/2003		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	22850		Yasuyoshi Itoh	239339US2	7206
		7590 05/18/2004		EXAM	NED
	OBLON, SP	IVAK, MCCLELLAN	D, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	TRAN, TAN N	
AI	17 TO DOILE S	STREET IA, VA 22314	1,1.0.		
	ALEXANDR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2826	
		•		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	•
	*				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\frac{1}{2}$	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-dl
Offic Action Summary	10/600,344	ITOH ET AL.	
omo Accion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Ť
The MAN INC BATTER	TAN N TRAN	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time	ely. communication
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	1 June 2002	. `	
	his action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice undo	varice except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	merits is
man the practice under	r ⊏x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•	•	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	٠,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.5 and 8.40 is/see	JII.		• •
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5 and 8-19</u> is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from consideration	<b>.</b>	1
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.		. *	•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	:
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		-
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to 1		,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	a)∐ accepted or b)⊠ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
y was adapt that any objection to the	grawing(s) he hold in chaven-	0: 0=	
			R 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTC	)-152
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			102.
. •			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	٠
,— None of			
	s have been received.		
achies of the bilouty document	s have been received in Appli	cation No	•
— Topico of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been roo	eived in this National St	age
			-9-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.	
	,	*	•
achment(s)		•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	(DTO A :	
I NI-Alice of the control of the con		ary (PTO-413)	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Poview (DTD and	Paper No(s)/Mai	Date.	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23 June 2003.	Paper No(s)/Mai	Date  Datent Application (PTO-15	(2)

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Examiner has noted that the applicant has responded to the election restriction from the last communication response. The applicant has elected of Specices C, Fig. 28,29, claims 1-3,6,7 for further examination with traverse. The traversal is on the ground(s) that search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden to the examiner. However, this is not found persuasive because applicant did not submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Therefore the election requirement is made final.

#### Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

**Drawings** 

Art Unit: 2826

3. Figures 35-40 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not disclose a drain region formed to face said surface in said semiconductor substrate apart from said source region through said trench as recited in claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1-3,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 4,5, "a drain region formed to face said surface in said semiconductor substrate apart from said source region through said trench" is unclear as to what does applicant mean by a drain region formed to face said surface in said semiconductor substrate apart from said source region through said trench?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al. (6,713,834).

With regard to claims 1,2,6, Mori et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a semiconductor substrate 1 having a trench formed on a surface wherein the trench is filled by insulating material 124, and an MIS transistor 164 including source/drain regions (87,88) wherein drain region formed space apart from the source region; a gate insulating film 6 made of a silicon oxide film/a silicon nitride film/a silicon oxide film formed on at least a portion to enter the trench wherein the gate insulating film having two portions (6A,6B), and a gate electrode 7 formed on the gate insulating film 6 to enter the trench. (Note see attachment #1,

Art Unit: 2826

lines 14,15, column 4; lines 56-58, column 14; lines 58-61, column 15, figs. 21B,21C of Mori et al.). It is inherent that the source and drain regions (87,88) formed to face the surface in the semiconductor substrate 1 in order to secure the electrical connection between the source and drain diffusion regions and the source and drain electrodes. (Note lines 14,15, column 4, fig. 2A of Mori et al.) are cited to support for the inherent position.

Mori et al. discloses all the claimed subject matter except for the first and second electric charge holding portions capable of holding an electric charge are formed in the gate insulating film to interpose the trench therebetween; the first and second electric charge holding portions have ends on the source region and the drain region. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the first and second electric charge holding portions capable of holding an electric charge are formed in the gate insulating film to interpose the trench therebetween and the first and second electric charge holding portions have ends on the source region and the drain region because Mori et al.'s gate insulating film 6 made of the same material as applicant's device i.e. silicon oxide film/a silicon nitride film/a silicon oxide material and having two portions (6A,6B) with a trench therebetween, thus the gate insulating film of Mori et al functions the same as the claimed invention. (Note see attachment #1, lines 14,15, column 4; lines 56-58, column 14, lines 58-61, column 15, figs. 21B,21C of Mori et al.) are cited to support for the well know position.

Art Unit: 2826

Page 6

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1923. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TT

May 2004

Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2826